New infinite families of p-ary weakly regular bent functions

Yanfeng Qi, Chunming Tang, Zhengchun Zhou, Cuiling Fan

arXiv:1508.05672v1 [cs.IT] 23 Aug 2015

Abstract

The characterization and construction of bent functions are challenging problems. The paper generalizes the constructions of Boolean bent functions by Mesnager [33], Xu et al. [40] and p-ary bent functions by Xu et al. [41] to the construction of p-ary weakly regular bent functions and presents new infinite families of p-ary weakly regular bent functions from some known weakly regular bent functions (square functions, Kasami functions, and the Maiorana-McFarland class of bent functions). Further, new infinite families of p-ary bent idempotents are obtained.

Index Terms

Bent functions, weakly regular bent functions, bent idempotents, Walsh transform, algebraic degree

I. INTRODUCTION

Boolean bent functions introduced by Rothaus [39] in 1976 are an interesting combinatorial object with the maximum Hamming distance to the set of all affine functions. Such functions have been extensively studied because of their important applications in cryptograph (stream ciphers [5]), sequences [35], and graph theory [37], coding theory (Reed-Muller codes [10], two-weight and three-weight linear codes [1], [13]), and association schemes [38]. The notation of Boolean bent functions can be generalized to functions over an arbitrary finite fields [23]. Naturally, p-ary bent functions are more complicated than Boolean bent functions. A complete classification of bent functions is still elusive. Further, not only their characterization, but also their generation are challenging problems. Much work on bent functions are devoted to the construction of bent functions [2], [3], [4], [5], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12], [14], [18], [24], [25], [26], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [42].

Idempotents introduced by Filiol and Fontaine in [16], [17] are polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, $f(x) = f(x^2)$. Rotation symmetric Boolean functions, which was also introduced by Filiol and Fontaine under the name of idempotent functions and studied by Pieprzyk and Qu [36], are invariant under circular translation of indices. Due to less space to be stored and allowing faster computation of the Walsh transform, they are of great interest. They can be obtained from idempotents (and vice versa) through the choice of a normal basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Characterizing and constructing idempotent bent functions and rotation symmetric bent functions are difficult and have theoretical and practical interest. In the literature, few constructions of Boolean bent idempotents have been presented, which are restricted by the degree of finite fields and have algebraic degree no more than 4. Carlet [6] introduced an open problem on how to construct classes of bent idempotents over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ of algebraic degree between 5 and m.

Recently, Mesnager [33] proposed several new infinite families of Boolean bent functions and their duals by adding traces function to known Boolean bent functions. Xu et al. [40] generalized Mesnager's work to more complicated cases by increasing more trace functions. Their work focus on infinite families of Boolean bent functions. Xu and Cao [41] generalized Mesnager's work to p-ary bent functions. Motivated by their method, we generalize their work to p-ary weakly regular bent functions by adding more trace functions to known p-ary bent functions. Our construction is more general and several new infinite families of p-ary weakly regular bent functions are obtained.

Let p be an odd prime and $q = p^n$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. This paper considers p-ary functions on $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the form

$$f(x) = g(x) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x)),$$

where $g(x)$ is some known weakly regular bent function on $\mathbb{F}_q$, $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$ is a reduced polynomial. From some known bent functions (square functions, Kasami functions, and the Maiorana-McFarland class of bent functions), we present several new infinite families of p-ary weakly regular bent functions. Further we obtain some new infinite families of p-ary weakly regular bent idempotents.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces some basic notations and weakly regular bent functions. Section III presents several new infinite families of p-ary weakly regular bent functions from some known bent functions. Section IV makes a conclusion.

Y. Qi is with School of Science, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310018, China. e-mail: qiyanfeng07@163.com.

C. Tang is with School of Mathematics and Information, China West Normal University, Sichuan Nanchong, 637002, China. e-mail: tangchunming-math@163.com

Z. Zhou is with the School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, 610031, China. e-mail: zzc@swjtu.edu.cn.

C. Fan is with the School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, 610031, China. e-mail: fcl@swjtu.edu.cn.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, let p be an odd prime, $q = p^n$, and $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. The trace function from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to a subfield $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ is defined by $\mathrm{Tr}_k^n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n/k-1} x^{p^{ik}}$, where $k|n$. In the case when $k = 1$, $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(x)$ is called the absolute trace function.

A p-ary function is a map from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$. The finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be seen as a n-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Fix a basis of the vector space, then $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ has the unique representation $(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$, where $X_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$. And the function f can be represented as a polynomial with the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}(N)} a_I x^I,$$

where $\mathcal{P}(N)$ denotes the power set of $N = \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and $a_I \in \mathbb{F}_p$. This representation is called the algebraic normal form (ANF) of f. And the degree of such a multivariate polynomial is called the algebraic degree of f. A p-ary function $f(x)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be seen as a polynomial $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n]$. Such $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ is called a reduce polynomial.

Definition Let $f(x)$ be a p-ary function defined on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Then $f(x)$ is called an idempotent if

$$f(x) = f(x^p), \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}.$$

Definition A p-ary function or a multivariate polynomial $f(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ is a rotation symmetric polynomial if it is invariant under cyclic shift:

$$f(X_n, X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{n-1}) = f(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$$

The Walsh transform of f is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{f(x) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x)},$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p}$ is the primitive p-th root of unity. If we regard $\mathbb{F}_q$ as $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n/2}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n/2}}$ for even n, the Walsh transform of f is

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n/2}}} (-1)^{f(x,y) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta_1 x + \beta_2 y)}.$$

If we regard $\mathbb{F}_q$ as a n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$, the Walsh transform of f is

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{f(x) + \langle \beta, x \rangle},$$

where $\langle \beta, x \rangle$ is the usual dot product on $\mathbb{F}_p^n$.

Definition The function $f(x)$ is a p-ary bent functions, if $|\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)| = p^{\frac{n}{2}}$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. A bent function $f(x)$ is regular if there exists some p-ary function $f^*(x)$ satisfying $\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_p^{f^*(\beta)}$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. A bent function $f(x)$ is weakly regular if there exists a complex u with unit magnitude satisfying that $\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = u p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_p^{f^*(\beta)}$ for some function $f^*(x)$. Such function $f^*(x)$ is called the dual of $f(x)$.

From [20], [22], a weakly regular bent function $f(x)$ satisfies that

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = \varepsilon \sqrt{p^*}^n \zeta_p^{f^*(\beta)},$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ is called the sign of the Walsh Transform of $f(x)$ and $p^* = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p$. The dual of a weakly regular bent function is also weakly regular bent. Some results on weakly regular bent functions can be found in [15], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23].

III. INFINITE FAMILIES OF p-ARY WEAKLY REGULAR BENT FUNCTIONS

Let $g(x)$ be a p-ary bent function, τ is a positive integer, $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau$ be τ variables, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$ be a reduced polynomial.

We will study p-ary bent functions of the form

$$f(x) = g(x) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x)), \tag{1}$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

As a function from $\mathbb{F}_p^\tau$ to the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, $\zeta_p^{F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)}$ has the unique Fourier expansion, i.e., there exists a unique set of $c_{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\zeta_p^{F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)} = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_p^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} \zeta_p^{w_1 X_1 + w_2 X_2 + \cdots + w_\tau X_\tau}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_\tau) \in \mathbb{F}_p^\tau$. Equation (2) holds for any $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_p$. In particular, take $X_1 = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x)$, $X_2 = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x)$, $\cdots$, $X_\tau = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x)$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have

$$\zeta_p^{F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))} = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_p^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} \zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^n((\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i)x)}. \tag{3}$$

Multiplying both sides of Equation (3) by $\zeta_p^{g(x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x)}$, we have

$$\zeta_p^{g(x)+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x)} = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_p^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} \zeta_p^{g(x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n((\beta+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i)x)}.$$

Further, we have

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{g(x)+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x)} = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_p^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{W}_g(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i),$$

i.e.,

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_p^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{W}_g(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i).$$

For further discussion, let $g(x)$ be a weakly regular bent function in the rest of the paper, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = \varepsilon \sqrt{p^*}^n \zeta_p^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)},$$

where $\widetilde{g}$ is the dual of g, $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, and $p^* = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = \varepsilon \sqrt{p^*}^n \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_p^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} \zeta_p^{\widetilde{g}(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i)}. \tag{4}$$

For a general weakly regular bent function, it is difficult to compute $\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)$. For some particular $g(x)$, we can calculate $\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)$. Suppose that $\widetilde{g}(x)$ is a quadratic function of the form

$$\widetilde{g}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_i x^{p^i+1}) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(bx) + c, \tag{5}$$

where $a_i, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$. For any $1 \le k \le n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i)^{p^k+1}) =& \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k \beta^{p^k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(\beta^{p^k} u_i + \beta u_i^{p^k})) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i^2 \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k u_i^{p^k+1}) + \sum_{1\le i<j\le\tau} w_i w_j \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(u_i^{p^k} u_j + u_j^{p^k} u_i)).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i)^{p^k+1}) =& \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k \beta^{p^k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i (\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_i \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} a_k \beta^{p^k}) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k u_i^{p^k})) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i^2 \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k u_i^{p^k+1}) + \sum_{1\le i<j\le\tau} w_i w_j \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(a_k(u_i^{p^k} u_j + u_i u_j^{p^k}))).\end{aligned}$$

From $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(b(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i)) + c = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(b\beta) + c + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(bu_i)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{g}(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i) =& \widetilde{g}(\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i (\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(u_i \beta^{p^k} + \beta u_i^{p^k})) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(bu_i)) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k u_i^{p^k+1}) + \sum_{1\le i<j\le\tau} w_i w_j (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(u_i^{p^k} u_j + u_i u_j^{p^k}))).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1: Let $g(x)$ be a weakly regular bent function, $\mathcal{W}_g(\beta) = \varepsilon \sqrt{p^*}^n \zeta_p^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)}$, and the dual $\widetilde{g}$ be

$$\widetilde{g}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k x^{p^k+1}) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(bx) + c,$$

where $a_k, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Let $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(u_i^{p^k} u_j + u_i u_j^{p^k})) = 0.$$

Then the p-ary function $f(x)$ defined in Equation (1) is weakly regular bent. Further, the Walsh transform of f is

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = \varepsilon\sqrt{p^*}^n \zeta_p^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)+F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)},$$

where $X_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(u_i\beta^{p^k} + u_i^{p^k}\beta)) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(bu_i)$.

Proof: The Walsh transform of f is

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = \varepsilon\sqrt{p^*}^n \zeta_p^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)} \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_p^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} \zeta_p^{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i X_i},$$

where $X_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(u_i\beta^{p^k} + u_i^{p^k}\beta)) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(bu_i)$. From Equation (2), we have

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = \varepsilon\sqrt{p^*}^n \zeta_p^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)+F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)}.$$

Hence, this lemma follows. ■

From Lemma 3.1 and some known weakly regular bent functions, we construct three new infinite families of p-ary weakly regular bent functions.

A. New infinite family of p-ary weakly regular bent functions from square functions

Let the square function $g(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda x^2)$ be weakly regular bent, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. From [20], the Walsh transform of $g(x)$ is

$$\mathcal{W}_g(\beta) = (-1)^{n-1}\eta(\lambda)\sqrt{p^*}^n \zeta_p^{-\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\frac{\beta^2}{4\lambda})},$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and η is the multiplicative quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_q$. The dual of g is $\widetilde{g}(x) = -\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\frac{x^2}{4\lambda})$.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. The subspace $\mathcal{N}$ on $\widetilde{g}$ is a self-orthogonal subspace if for any $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$, $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k(x^{p^k}y + xy^{p^k})) = 0$, where a_k are determined by $\widetilde{g}$. The subspace $\mathcal{N}$ is called a maximal self-orthogonal subspace if for any self-orthogonal subspace $\mathcal{N}'$ containing $\mathcal{N}$, then $\mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{N}$.

Lemma 3.2: Let $g(x)$ be a weakly regular bent function, $\mathcal{W}_g(\beta) = \varepsilon\sqrt{p^*}^n\zeta_p^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)}$, and the dual $\widetilde{g}$ be

$$\widetilde{g}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(a_k x^{p^k+1}) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(bx) + c,$$

where $a_k, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ on $\widetilde{g}$ be a maximal self-orthogonal subspace, $\tau = dim(\mathcal{N})$, $u_1, \cdots, u_\tau$ be a basis of $\mathcal{N}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$ of algebraic degree d. Then the p-ary function $f(x)$ defined in Equation (1) is a weakly regular bent function of algebraic degree d.

Proof: From the definition of maximal self-orthogonal subspaces and Lemma 3.1, this lemma follows. ■

Theorem 3.3: Let τ be a positive integer, $u_1, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\frac{1}{\lambda}u_iu_j) = 0$ for any $1 \le i \le j \le \tau$. Then, the p-ary function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda x^2) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))$$

is a weakly regular bent function.

Proof: In Lemma 3.2, take $g(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda x^2)$. Then this theorem follows. ■

Corollary 3.4: Let $n = pm$, $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m$ be a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^\times$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m]$. Then the p-ary function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda x^2) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_mx))$$

is a weakly regular bent function.

Proof: For any i, j, $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\frac{1}{\lambda}u_iu_j) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\mathrm{Tr}_m^n(\frac{1}{\lambda}u_iu_j)) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(p\frac{1}{\lambda}u_iu_j) = 0$. From Theorem 3.3, this corollary follows. ■

In Corollary 3.4, take the normal basis of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. And we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5: Let $n = pm$, u be a normal element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^\times$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m]$. Then the p-ary function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda x^2) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^px), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{p^{m-1}}x))$$

is a weakly regular bent function. In particular, when $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ is a rotation symmetric polynomial, $f(x)$ is a p-ary bent idempotent.

Proof: From Corollary 3.4, $f(x)$ is weakly regular bent. When $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ is a rotation symmetric polynomial, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^p) =& \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda x^{2p}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux^p), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^p x^p), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{p^{m-1}} x^p)) \\ =& \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda x^2) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{p^{m-1}} x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{p^0} x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{p^{m-2}} x)) \\ =& \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda x^2) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^p x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{p^{m-1}} x)) \\ =& f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f(x)$ is a p-ary bent idempotent. ■

Corollary 3.6: Let $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\frac{1}{\lambda}u^2) = 0$, and $F(X)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$. Then the p-ary function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda x^2) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux))$$

is a weakly regular bent function.

Proof: From Theorem 3.3, this corollary follows. ■

B. New infinite family of p-ary weakly regular bent functions from Kasami functions

Let $n = 2k$ and $g(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ax^{p^k+1})$ be the p-ary Kasami function. Liu and Komo [27] proved that $g(x)$ is bent. Helleseth et al. [20] showed that the Walsh transform of $g(x)$ is

$$\mathcal{W}_g(\beta) = -p^k \zeta_p^{-\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{\beta^{p^k+1}}{a+a^{p^k}})}.$$

And the dual of g is $\widetilde{g}(x) = -\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{x^{p^k+1}}{a+a^{p^k}})$.

Theorem 3.7: Let $n = 2k$, τ be a positive integer, $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{1}{a+a^{p^k}}(u_i^{p^k} u_j + u_i u_j^{p^k})) = 0$ for any $1 \le i \le j \le \tau$. Then the p-ary function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ax^{p^k+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))$$

is a weakly regular bent function.

Proof: The trace function Tr_k^n from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ is surjective. There exists $A \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_k^n(A) = \frac{1}{a+a^{p^k}} = A^{p^k} + A$. The dual $\widetilde{g}$ of g is $\widetilde{g}(x) = -\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{1}{a+a^{p^k}} x^{p^k+1}) = -\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(Ax^{p^k+1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Tr_1^n(A(u_i^{p^k} + u_i u_j^{p^k})) =& Tr_1^k((A + A^k)(u_i^{p^k} u_j + u_i u_j^{p^k}) \\ =& Tr_1^k(\frac{1}{a + a^k}(u_i^{p^k} u_j + u_i u_j^{p^k}) \\ =& 0. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1, this theorem follows. ■

Corollary 3.8: Let $n = 2k$, τ be a positive integer, $\lambda, u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^\times$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{1}{\lambda}u_i u_j) = 0$ for any $1 \le i \le j \le \tau$. Then the p-ary function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\lambda x^{p^k+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))$$

is a weakly regular bent function.

Proof: Take $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_k^n(a) = \lambda$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ax^{p^k+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\lambda x^{p^k+1})$ and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{1}{\lambda}(u_i^{p^k} u_j + u_i u_j^{p^k}) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{2}{\lambda}u_i u_j) = 2\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{1}{\lambda}u_i u_j) = 0.$$

From Theorem 3.7, this corollary follows. ■

Corollary 3.9: Let $n = 2k$, τ be a positive integer, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^\times$, $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and $F(X)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{1}{\lambda}u^{p^k+1}) = 0$. Then the p-ary function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\lambda x^{p^k+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux))$$

is a weakly regular bent function.

Proof: From Theorem 3.7, take $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_k^n(a) = \lambda$. Then this corollary follows. ■

Corollary 3.10: Let $n = 2k = 2pm$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^{\times}$, u be a normal element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^{\times}$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m]$. Then the p-ary function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\lambda x^{p^k+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^p x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{p^{m-1}} x))$$

is a weakly regular bent function. Further, when $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ is rotation symmetric, then $f(x)$ is a bent idempotent.

Proof: Note that $\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\frac{1}{\lambda} u^{p^i} u^{p^j}) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(p\frac{1}{\lambda} u^{p^i} u^{p^j}) = 0$. From Corollary 3.8, $f(x)$ is weakly regular bent. When $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ is rotation symmetric, then $f(x^p) = f(x)$. Hence, $f(x)$ is a p-ary bent idempotent. ■

C. New infinite family of p-ary weakly regular bent functions from the Maiorana-McFarland class

In this subsection, we identify $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ $(n = 2k)$ as $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ and consider p-ary functions with bivariate representation $f(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(P(x, y))$, where $P(x, y)$ is a polynomial in two-variable over $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. For $(\beta_1, \beta_2), (x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, the scalar product in $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ can be defined as

$$\langle(\beta_1, \beta_2), (x, y)\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\beta_1 x + \beta_2 y).$$

The well-known Maiorana-McFarland class of p-ary bent functions can be defined as follows:

$$g(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(x\pi(y)) + h(y),\ (x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^k}, \tag{6}$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ is a permutation and h is any p-ary function over $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. From [5], the dual $\widetilde{g}$ is

$$\widetilde{g}(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(y\pi^{-1}(x)) + h(\pi^{-1}(x)), \tag{7}$$

where π^{-1} denotes the inverse mapping of π.

By choosing suitable permutation π, we will construct a new infinite family of p-ary weakly regular bent functions.

Theorem 3.11: Let $n = 2k$, π be a linearized permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, and $u_1 = (u_1^{(1)}, u_1^{(2)}), \cdots, u_\tau = (u_\tau^{(1)}, u_\tau^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(u_i^{(2)}\pi^{-1}(u_j^{(1)}) + u_j^{(2)}\pi^{-1}(u_i^{(1)})) = 0\ , 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \tau.$$

Then the p-ary function

$$f(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(x\pi(y)) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(by) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(u_1^{(1)}x + u_1^{(2)}y), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(u_\tau^{(1)}x + u_\tau^{(2)}y))$$

is weakly regular bent.

Proof: Take $h(y) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(by)$ and $g(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(x\pi(y)) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(by)$. Then from Equation (7),

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{g}(\beta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i^{(1)}, \beta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i^{(2)}) =& \widetilde{g}(\beta_1, \beta_2) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i X_i \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i^2 \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(u_i^{(2)}\pi^{-1}u_i^{(1)}) + \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq\tau} w_i w_j \mathrm{Tr}_1^k(u_i^{(2)}\pi^{-1}(u_j^{(1)}) + u_j^{(2)}\pi^{-1}(u_i^{(1)})),\end{aligned}$$

where $X_i = \mathrm{Tr}_1^k((\beta_2 + b)\pi^{-1}(u_i^{(1)}) + u_i^{(2)}\pi^{-1}(\beta_1))$. Since for any $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \tau$ $\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(u_i^{(2)}\pi^{-1}(u_j^{(1)}) + u_j^{(2)}\pi^{-1}(u_i^{(1)})) = 0$, from Equation (4),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \varepsilon\sqrt{p^*}^{2k} \zeta_p^{\widetilde{g}(\beta_1, \beta_2)} \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_p^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} \zeta_p^{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i X_i}.$$

From Equation (2),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \varepsilon\sqrt{p^*}^{2k} \zeta_p^{\widetilde{g}(\beta_1, \beta_2) + F(X_1, \cdots, X_\tau)}.$$

Hence, $f(x, y)$ is a p-ary weakly regular bent function. ■

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we generalize the work of Mesnager [33] and Xu et al. [40], [41] to p-ary weakly regular bent functions. From known weakly regualr bent functions (square functions, Kasami functions, and the Maiorana-McFarland class of bent functions), we construct three new infinite families of p-ary weakly regular bent functions, which contain some infinite families of p-ary bent idempotents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11401480, No.10990011 & No. 61272499). Yanfeng Qi also acknowledges support from KSY075614050 of Hangzhou Dianzi University.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Calderbank and W. M. Kantor, “The geometry of two-weight codes,” Bull. London Math. Soc., vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 97-122, 1986.

[2] A. Canteaut, P. Charpin, and G. Kyureghyan, “A new class of monomial bent functions,” Finite Fields Their Appl., vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 221-241, 2008.

[3] C. Carlet, “Two new classes of bent functions,” in EUROCRYPT (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), vol. 765. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 1994, pp. 77-101.

[4] C. Carlet, “A construction of bent function,” in Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Finite Fields and Appl., 1996, pp. 47-58.

[5] C. Carlet, “Boolean functions for cryptography and error correcting codes,” in Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering, Y. Crama and P. L. Hammer, Eds. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010, pp. 257-397.

[6] C Carlet. “Open Open Problems on Binary Bent Functions”. Open Problems in Mathematics and Computational Science 2014, pp 203-241

[7] C. Carlet and S. Mesnager, “On Dillons class H of bent functions, Niho bent functions and O-polynomials,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 118, no. 8, pp. 2392-2410, 2011.

[8] P. Charpin and G. Gong, “Hyperbent functions, Kloosterman sums and Dickson polynomials,” in Proc. ISIT, Jul. 2008, pp. 1758-1762.

[9] P. Charpin and G. Kyureghyan, “Cubic monomial bent functions: A subclass of M,” SIAM J. Discrete Math., vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 650-665, 2008.

[10] G. Cohen, I. Honkala, S. Litsyn, and A. Lobstein, Covering Codes. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North Holland, 1997.

[11] J. Dillon, “Elementary Hadamard difference sets,” Ph.D. dissertation, Netw. Commun. Lab., Univ. Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, 1974.

[12] J. F. Dillon and H. Dobbertin, “New cyclic difference sets with Singer parameters,” Finite Fields Their Appl., vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 342-389, 2004.

[13] C. Ding, “Linear codes from some 2-designs,” IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 3265-3275, June 2015.

[14] H. Dobbertin, G. Leander, A. Canteaut, C. Carlet, P. Felke, and P. Gaborit, “Construction of bent functions via Niho power functions,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 113, no. 5, pp. 779-798, 2006.

[15] K. Feng, J. Luo, “Value distributions of exponential sums from perfect nonlinear functions and their applications,” IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 3035-3041, August 2007.

[16] C. Fontaine, “On some cosets of the first-order Reed-Muller code with high minimum weight,” IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 45, 1237-1243 (1999)

[17] E. Filiol, C. Fontaine, “Highly nonlinear balanced Boolean functions with a good correlationimmunity,” in Proceedings of EUROCRYPT98. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1403 (1998), pp. 475-488

[18] R. Gold, “Maximal recursive sequences with 3-valued recursive crosscorrelation functions (Corresp.),” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 154-156, Jan. 1968.

[19] T. Helleseth, H. D. L. Hollmann, A. Kholosha, Z. Wang, and Q. Xiang, “Proofs of two conjectures on ternary weakly regular bent functions,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 55, no. 11, pp. 5272-5283, Nov. 2009.

[20] T. Helleseth and A. Kholosha, “Monomial and quadratic bent functions over the finite fields of odd characteristic,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 52, no.5, pp. 2018-2032, May 2006.

[21] T. Helleseth and A. Kholosha, “On the dual of monomial quadratic p-ary bent functions,” in Sequences, Subsequences, and Consequences, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, S. Golomb, G. Gong, T. Helleseth, and H. Y. Song, Eds. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2007, vol. 4893, pp. 50-61.

[22] T. Helleseth and A. Kholosha, “New binomial bent functions over the finite fields of odd characteristic,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 4646-4652, Sep. 2010.

[23] P.V. Kumar, R.A. Scholtz, L.R. Welch, “Generalized bent functions and their properties”, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 40 (1985) 90-107.

[24] G. Leander, “Monomial bent functions,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 738-743, Feb. 2006.

[25] G. Leander and A. Kholosha, “Bent functions with 2r Niho exponents,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 5529-5532, Dec. 2006.

[26] N. Li, T. Helleseth, X. Tang, and A. Kholosha, “Several new classes of bent functions from Dillon exponents,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1818-1831, Mar. 2013.

[27] S.C. Liu, J.J. Komo, “Nonbinary Kasami sequences over GF(p),” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 38(4), 1409-1412 (1992)

[28] R. L. McFarland, “A family of noncyclic difference sets,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 1973.

[29] S. Mesnager, “A new family of hyper-bent Boolean functions in polynomial form,” in Cryptography and Coding (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), vol. 5921, M. G. Parker, Ed. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2009, pp. 402-417.

[30] S. Mesnager, “Hyper-bent Boolean functions with multiple trace terms,” in Arithmetic of Finite Fields (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), vol. 6087, M. Hasan and T. Helleseth, Eds. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2010, pp. 97-113.

[31] S. Mesnager, “Bent and hyper-bent functions in polynomial form and their link with some exponential sums and Dickson polynomials, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 5996-6009, Sep. 2011.

[32] S. Mesnager, “A new class of bent and hyper-bent Boolean functions in polynomial forms,” Des., Codes Cryptography, vol. 59, nos. 1-3, pp. 265-279, 2011.

[33] S. Mesnager, “Several New Infinite Families of Bent Functions and Their Duals,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 60, no. 7, JULY 2014

[34] S. Mesnager and J. P. Flori, “Hyper-bent functions via Dillon-like exponents,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 3215-3232, May 2013.

[35] J. D. Olsen, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch, “Bent-function sequences,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 858-864, Nov. 1982.

[36] J. Pieprzyk, C. Qu, “Fast Hashing and rotation symmetric functions,” J. Univ. Comput. Sci. 5, 20-31 (1999)

[37] A. Pott, Y. Tan, and T. Feng, “Strongly regular graphs associated with ternary bent functions,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 117, no. 6, pp. 668-682, 2010.

[38] A. Pott, Y. Tan, T. Feng, and S. Ling, “Association schemes arising from bent functions,” Des., Codes Cryptography, vol. 59, nos. 1-3, pp. 319-331, 2011.

[39] O. Rothaus, “On bent functions,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 300-305, 1976.

[40] G. Xu, X. Cao, S. Xu. “Several new classes of Boolean functions with few Walsh transform values”, arXiv:1506.04886v1

[41] G. Xu, X. Cao, “Several classes of bent, near-bent and 2-plateaued functions over finite fields of odd characteristic,” arXiv:1508.03415

[42] N. Y. Yu and G. Gong, “Construction of quadratic bent functions in polynomial forms,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 3291-3299, Jul. 2006.